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#### Abstract

Bis-(2,4,6-trichlorophenolato)diimidazolecopper(II) monohydrate, $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OCl}_{3}\right)_{2} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, a possible model for the metal-binding site of transferrins, has been synthesized and its structure determined by X-ray crystallography. The crystals are orthorhombic, space group Pbnb, with $a=11.711$ (5) $b=24.829$ (6) and $c=8.257$ (4) $\AA, D_{m}=1.683 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3} ; D_{x}$ for four formula units is $1.688 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. The structure was solved by conventional Patterson and Fourier methods and refined by use of fullmatrix least squares to an $R_{1}$ value of 0.069 . The Cu atom is at a center of symmetry and the six atoms coordinated to Cu form a tetragonally elongated octahedron. Two imidazole N atoms and two phenolic O atoms occupy the corners of an approximate square plane. The observed $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ distances are $1.98 \AA$ and $1.97 \AA$, respectively. The $O$-chlorine atoms occupy the axial positions at distances of $2 \cdot 85 \AA$. The molecules are held together in the crystal by intermolecular hydrogen bonds.


## Introduction

Studies on model systems are needed to extend the interpretation of the resonance Raman spectra of transferrin metal chelates (Tomimatsu, Kint \& Scherer, 1973; Carey \& Young, 1974; Gaber, Miskowski \& Spiro, 1974). Gaber et al. (1974) proposed an $\mathrm{Fe}^{I I I}$ complex of ethylenediamine di-(o-hydroxyphenylacetate) as a model for $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}$ serum transferrin. Since Feeney \& Komatsu (1966) implicated the phenoxyl and imidazole moieties of tyrosine and histidine in $\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {II }}$ binding to transferrins, and there have been no reports of complexes of $\mathrm{Cu}^{11}$ with imidazole and phenoxyl (or substituted phenoxyl) groups as mixed ligands (Sundberg \& Martin, 1974), we have prepared a model system of this type. In this paper we describe the preparation and crystal structure of bis-(2,4,6trichlorophenolato)diimidazole $\mathrm{Cu}^{11} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ hereinafter TCPI.

## Experimental*

TCPI was prepared by a procedure similar to that described by Harrod (1969) for obtaining complexes of $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$ containing halophenoxyl and amines as mixed ligands. Imidazole ( 0.2 mol ) was dissolved in 25 ml of $0.4 M \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} .5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give a dark blue solution. A second solution, containing 2,4,6-trichlorophenol ( 0.02 mol ) dissolved in 25 ml of 0.8 M NaOH , was slowly added to the copper-imidazole solution with vigorous stirring. The resulting brown slurry was transferred to a Büchner funnel (SS 576 filter) and washed thoroughly with water. The air-dried precipitate was first extracted

[^0]with benzene to remove any unreacted trichlorophenol, then extracted with ethanol. About $65 \%$ of the precipitate was soluble in ethanol; the insoluble fraction was not investigated further. The ethanol extract was filtered through an ultrafine sintered glass filter and allowed to evaporate slowly. The product, which crystallized as yellow-brown plates, was dissolved in ethanol and recrystallized for elemental and X-ray analysis. Analysis for $\mathrm{CuC}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ : Calculated $\mathrm{Cu}=10 \cdot 4, \quad \mathrm{C}=35 \cdot 4, \quad \mathrm{H}=2 \cdot 3, \quad \mathrm{~N}=9 \cdot 2, \quad \mathrm{Cl}=34 \cdot 8 \%$; found $\mathrm{Cu}=11 \cdot 3, \quad \mathrm{C}=35 \cdot 7, \quad \mathrm{H}=2 \cdot 4, \quad \mathrm{~N}=9 \cdot 2, \quad \mathrm{Cl}=$ $35 \cdot 5 \% . \mathrm{Cu}$ content was estimated by an X-ray fluorescence measurement on a single crystal weighing $31.0 \mu \mathrm{~g}$.

The crystals used for X-ray analysis were yellowishbrown platelets elongated along $c$. Weissenberg and precession photographs were used to determine the space group and preliminary values of unit-cell dimensions. The unit cell is orthorhombic; the systematic extinctions are $h 0 l$ when $h+l$ is odd and $0 k l$ and $h k 0$ when $k$ is odd. The space group is therefore Pbnb. Density measurements, by flotation in a mixture of dibromoethane and 1 -bromobutane, gave a value of $D_{m}=1.683 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$; the calculated value is $D_{x}=1.688 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ for four molecules of
$\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OCl}_{3}\right)_{2}$. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ per unit cell. The unitcell dimensions were determined from high-angle $\theta-20$ scan data obtained with a $1^{\circ}$ take-off angle and $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{\alpha}_{1}$ radiation.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OCl}_{3}\right)_{2} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, F.W. $610 \cdot 59, a=$ 11.711 (5), $b=24.829$ (6), $c=8.257$ (4) $\AA$; $\lambda\left(\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha_{1}\right)=$ $1.5405, \lambda\left(\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha_{2}\right)=1.5443 \AA$. Orthorhombic, space group Pbnb, $Z=4, F(000)=1220, D_{m}=1 \cdot 683, D_{x}=$ $1.688 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$.
The crystal used for intensity measurements was a
rectangular fragment, cut from a large platelet which measured $0.176 \times 0.074 \times 0.471 \mathrm{~mm}$ (corresponding to the $a, b$ and $c$ directions respectively).

Intensity data were collected at room temperature with a G. E. automatic diffractometer equipped with a full-circle goniostat and controlled by an IBM 1800 time-sharing computer. The Ni-filtered copper radiation was detected by a scintillation counter equipped with a single-channel pulse-height analyzer. One quarter of the reciprocal sphere was recorded in the range $0^{\circ} \leq 2 \theta \leq 125^{\circ}$. The $\theta-2 \theta$ scan technique was used at a scan rate of $1^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$. The scan range varied to include both $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ peaks. Background counts were obtained $0.5^{\circ}$ before and after the limits of the scan range. 3746 reflections were measured of which 1931 were unique; of these 26 were measured as zero, and 130 other reflections had $I<\sigma(I)$. These 156 reflections were excluded from the least-squares refinement. The value of $\sigma(I)$ was calculated from the expression $\sigma(I)=\left[C+\left(T_{c} / 2 T_{b}\right)^{2}\left(B_{1}+B_{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$ where $C$ is the total count in scan time $T_{c}, B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are the two background counts taken for $T_{b}=10 \mathrm{~s}$. When a reflection was measured more than once, the intensities were averaged and the standard deviation was set equal to the greater of $\left(\sum \sigma_{i}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} / n$ or $\left(\sum \Delta_{i}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} /(n-1)$ where $\sigma_{i}$ and $\Delta_{i}$ are the standard deviations of the $i$ th measurement and the deviation of the $i$ th measurement from the average respectively, and $n$ is the number of measurements. To reduce the weight given to intense reflections and to compensate for non-random errors an additional term, $(0.03 I)^{2}$, was included in the calculation of $\sigma^{2}\left(F^{2}\right)$ : $\sigma^{2}\left(F^{2}\right)=\left[\sigma^{2}(I)+(0 \cdot 03 I)^{2}\right] /(\text { LP })^{2}$, where LP is the Lo-rentz-polarization factor. Two standard reflections were measured every 48 reflections; no significant change in their intensities was noted. The data were corrected for absorption. The linear absorption coefficient is $76.22 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{\alpha}$.

The computer programs used for Lorentz-polarization corrections, Fourier summations, calculation of
distances and angles and least-squares refinement were obtained from Zalkin (1969). Correction for absorption was performed with the program $A B S O R B$ (Templeton \& Templeton, 1973).

## Structure determination and refinement

The structure was solved by the conventional heavyatom method. The positions of the Cu atom and the three Cl atoms were determined from a three-dimensional Patterson synthesis. After three cycles of leastsquares refinement, the positions of the $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$ and C atoms were obtained from a Fourier difference synthesis. The Patterson synthesis indicated that the Cu atom occupies the center of symmetry at $(0,0,0)$. The N atoms were distinguished from the C atoms of the imidazole rings by comparison of their isotropic thermal parameters.

The full-matrix least-squares program minimizes the function $\sum W(\Delta F)^{2}$ where $\Delta F=\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right| ; F_{o}$ and $F_{c}$ are the observed and calculated structure factors. The weighting factor $W$ was taken equal to $1 / \sigma^{2}(F)$, where $\sigma(F)=F_{o}-\left[F_{o}^{2}-\sigma\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$ when $I>\sigma(I)$ and equal to zero when $I<\sigma(I)$. Scattering factors for all atoms except $H$ were taken from the tables published by Cromer \& Waber (1965). The H scattering factors used were those published by Stewart, Davidson \& Simpson (1965).

Least-squares refinement of the parameters of the 17 heavy atoms with isotropic temperature factors gave an $R$ index, defined as $\sum\left|\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right| / \sum\left|F_{o}\right|$, of $0 \cdot 19$. Additional refinement with anisotropic temperature factors reduced $R$ to 0.09 . A difference Fourier synthesis led to the determination of the positional parameters for the seven H atoms in the asymmetric unit. Inclusion of the H atoms in the least-squares refinement, with isotropic temperature factors, and with no restrictions on their positional parameters, reduced the $R$ index to the final value of $0 \cdot 069$. All

Table 1. Positional and thermal parameters and their standard deviations in $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OCl}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
Estimated standard deviations are indicated in parentheses. The thermal parameters are in $\AA^{2}$. The temperature factor is $\exp (-T)$ where $T=\frac{1}{4} \sum h_{i} h_{J} B_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j}^{*}$ for the anisotropic case and $T=B(\sin \theta / \lambda)^{2}$ for the isotropic case.

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{11}$ | $B_{22}$ | $B_{33}$ | $B_{12}$ | $B_{13}$ | $B_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cu | 0 | 0 | 0 | $3 \cdot 55$ (4) | $2 \cdot 60$ (4) | $2 \cdot 23$ (4) | -0.47 (4) | -0.15 (3) | -0.04 (3) |
| OW | $0 \cdot 250$ | 0.0158 (3) | -0.250 | $4 \cdot 2$ (3) | $3 \cdot 6$ (2) | $3 \cdot 2$ (3) |  | $0 \cdot 3$ (2) |  |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | -0.1179 (1) | 0.08847 (6) | $0 \cdot 1421$ (2) | 4.03 (6) | $5 \cdot 20$ (7) | $4 \cdot 20$ (6) | -0.37 (5) | 0.79 (5) | -0.65 (5) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 0.0644 (3) | $0 \cdot 28513$ (7) | $0 \cdot 2077$ (4) | 12.6 (2) | $3 \cdot 54$ (7) | $15 \cdot 9$ (2) | $0 \cdot 9$ (1) | 0.0 (2) | $-3 \cdot 1$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $0 \cdot 3176$ (1) | $0 \cdot 12644$ (6) | -0.0455 (2) | 4.07 (6) | $4 \cdot 54$ (6) | $5 \cdot 56$ (7) | -1.15 (5) | $0 \cdot 12$ (6) | $0 \cdot 10$ (6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $0 \cdot 1101$ (3) | 0.0600 (1) | -0.0051 (4) | $4 \cdot 0$ (2) | $2 \cdot 8$ (1) | $3 \cdot 1$ (1) | -0.4 (1) | $0 \cdot 1$ (1) | -0.7 (1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | -0.0604 (4) | $0 \cdot 0232$ (2) | -0.2130 (5) | $4 \cdot 0$ (2) | $3 \cdot 2$ (2) | 2.5 (2) | -0.4 (2) | -0.4 (1) | $0 \cdot 0$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | -0.1378 (4) | $0 \cdot 0217$ (2) | -0.4520 (6) | $5 \cdot 0$ (2) | $4 \cdot 8$ (2) | $2 \cdot 7$ (2) | -0.0 (2) | -1.1 (2) | -0.1 (2) |
| C(1) | 0.0980 (4) | $0 \cdot 1101$ (2) | 0.0453 (6) | $3 \cdot 9$ (2) | $3 \cdot 2$ (2) | $2 \cdot 4$ (2) | -0.0 (2) | -0.4 (2) | -0.2 (2) |
| C(2) | -0.0018 (5) | $0 \cdot 1311$ (2) | $0 \cdot 1155$ (6) | $4 \cdot 3$ (2) | $3 \cdot 6$ (2) | $3 \cdot 2$ (2) | -0.0 (2) | -0.3 (2) | -0.1 (2) |
| C(3) | -0.0137 (6) | $0 \cdot 1845$ (2) | $0 \cdot 1651$ (8) | $6 \cdot 0$ (3) | $4 \cdot 0$ (3) | $5 \cdot 1$ (3) | $1 \cdot 1$ (3) | -0.2 (3) | -1.3 (2) |
| C(4) | 0.0772 (7) | $0 \cdot 2181$ (3) | $0 \cdot 1451$ (9) | $7 \cdot 8$ (4) | $3 \cdot 3$ (2) | 6.9 (4) | 0.6 (3) | -0.7 (3) | -1.0 (3) |
| C(5) | $0 \cdot 1774$ (6) | $0 \cdot 2106$ (2) | 0.0784 (8) | $6 \cdot 1$ (3) | $2 \cdot 9$ (2) | $5 \cdot 7$ (3) | -0.6 (2) | -0.9 (3) | -0.4 (2) |
| C(6) | $0 \cdot 1869$ (5) | $0 \cdot 1480$ (2) | 0.0303 (6) | $4 \cdot 4$ (2) | $3 \cdot 1$ (2) | $3 \cdot 6$ (2) | -0.6 (2) | -0.4 (2) | -0.0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | -0.1097 (5) | -0.0070 (2) | -0.3241 (7) | $4 \cdot 8$ (3) | 3.9 (2) | $3 \cdot 3$ (2) | -0.4 (2) | -0.4 (2) | -0.0 (2) |
| C(8) | -0.1074 (6) | 0.0730 (3) | -0.4246 (9) | $6 \cdot 5$ (4) | $4 \cdot 3$ (3) | $3 \cdot 6$ (3) | -0.1 (3) | -1.1 (3) | 0.6 (2) |
| C(9) | -0.0585 (6) | 0.0737 (2) | -0.2770 (7) | $6 \cdot 0$ (3) | $3 \cdot 8$ (2) | $3 \cdot 2$ (2) | -0.6 (2) | -1.2(2) | $1 \cdot 0$ (2) |

Table 1 (cont.)

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H(N2) | $-0.167(6)$ | $0.008(3)$ | $-0.533(8)$ | $5.2(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{C} 3)$ | $-0.081(5)$ | $0.194(3)$ | $0.221(8)$ | $4.9(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{C} 5)$ | $0.246(5)$ | $0.225(2)$ | $0.055(7)$ | $3.9(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{C} 7)$ | $-0.128(6)$ | $-0.042(3)$ | $-0.323(8)$ | $6.3(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{C} 8)$ | $-0.120(9)$ | $0.088(4)$ | $-0.48(1)$ | $9.0(31)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{C} 9)$ | $-0.028(6)$ | $0.103(3)$ | $-0.216(8)$ | $5.6(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{H} W$ | $0.213(7)$ | $0.027(3)$ | $-0.21(1)$ | $6.4(25)$ |

parameter shifts in the final cycle were less than $0 \cdot 1 \sigma$. The observed and calculated structure factors for the intense reflections are in good agreement; consequently,


Fig. 1 Stereoscopic view of the molcculc. The cllipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.


Fig. 2. The atom numbering scheme used in this paper and the bond distances and angles between heavy atoms. Estimated standard deviations are $0.01 \AA$ for distances and $0.6^{\circ}$ for angles. This view corresponds to that shown in Fig. 1.
a correction for secondary extinction was not made. The final positional and thermal parameters for all atoms and their estimated standard deviations are listed in Table 1. A table listing $F_{\text {obs }}$, standard deviations and the difference ( $F_{\text {obs }}-F_{\text {calc }}$ ) may be obtained upon request.*

## Results and discussion

The crystal structure determination established that the copper complex under investigation is bis-(2,4,6trichlorophenolato)diimidazolecopper(II) monohydrate. The configuration of the molecule and the thermal motion of the atoms are illustrated in the stereoscopic drawing (Johnson, 1965), Fig. 1. The thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. A schematic drawing of the molecule with the atom numbering system used in this paper, the interatomic distances and bond angles between the heavy

* A list of structure factors has been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 31235 (9 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 13 White Friars, Chester CH1 NZ, England.

Table 2. Distances ( $\AA$ ) involving hydrogen atoms The e.s.d.'s are $0 \cdot 1 \AA$.

| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{C} 3)$ | 0.9 | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{C} 9)$ | $1 \cdot 0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{C} 5)$ | $1 \cdot 0$ | $\mathrm{~N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{N} 2)$ | 0.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{C} 7)$ | $0 \cdot 9$ | $\mathrm{O} W-\mathrm{H} W$ | 0.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{C} 8)$ | 0.6 |  |  |

Table 3. Deviation of atoms from least-squares plane and dihedral angles between them

The plane equation is of the form $A x+B y+C z=D$ where $x, y, z$ and $D$ are in $\AA$ relative to the axes $a, b$ and $c$.


Angle $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ between plane normals

| (1)-(2) | $-88 \cdot 45$ | $(1)-(4)$ | -89.08 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (1)-(3) | $26 \cdot 44$ | $(2)-(4)$ | 1.39 |
| $(2)-(3)$ | -86.83 | $(3)-(4)$ | $87 \cdot 94$ |

Coefficients of the equation of the plane $(\AA)$

| Plane | $\boldsymbol{A}$ | $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $\boldsymbol{C}$ | $\boldsymbol{D}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| (1) | 0.891 | -0.194 | -0.411 | -0.025 |
| (2) | 0.337 | -0.242 | 0.910 | 0.076 |
| $(3)$ | 0.664 | -0.588 | -0.463 | 0.000 |
| $(4)$ | 0.340 | -0.265 | 0.902 | 0.003 |

atoms, is presented in Fig. 2. Distances involving H atoms are in Table 2. A stereoscopic view of the molecular packing in the crystal is shown in Fig. 3. The view is parallel to $c$, with $a$ horizontal and $b$ vertical. The planarity of the two rings in the asymmetric unit, the out-of-plane distance of atoms making up the plane, and the dihedral angle between the planes are summarized in Table 3.

## The coordination around copper

The Cu atom is at a center of symmetry $(0,0,0)$; the coordination around Cu can be described as a distorted octahedron. The equatorial bonds are to the N atoms of two imidazole rings and to the phenolic O atoms of two trichlorophenolato groups in a trans configuration. The angle between the equatorial coordination bonds, $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(1)$, is $90 \cdot 3^{\circ}$. The axial bonds are to $o-$ chlorine atoms of the trichlorophenol molecules. The trichlorophenols are therefore bidentate ligands.
In the TCPI complex the phenolate rings are nearly perpendicular to the equatorial plane [the plane formed by $\mathrm{O}(1), \mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{N}(1)$ and $\left.\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)\right]$; the dihedral angle is $86 \cdot 8^{\circ}$. This orientation is the result of the $o$ chlorine atom forming an axial coordination bond to Cu . The $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ bond makes an angle of $14.9^{\circ}$ with the normal to the equatorial plane. A similar value for the angle between the axial coordination bond and the Cu atom $z$-axis is reported for other $\mathrm{Cu}^{11}$ complexes with bidentate O donor ligands (Hobson, Ladd \& Povey, 1973; Hathaway, 1972).

The dihedral angles which the imidazole ring makes with the phenolate ring and with the equatorial plane are $88.5^{\circ}$ and $26.4^{\circ}$, respectively. This arrangement of the rings permits a compact molecular packing in the crystal.

The observed bond distance for $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ of $1.98 \AA$ is in good agreement with other observations as summarized by Ivarsson, Lundberg \& Ingri (1972). The $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ (imidazole) bond distances all fall in the range of 1.95-2.01 $\AA$. Sundberg \& Martin (1974) concluded that in a neutral imidazole molecule the only unshared pair of electrons is on the imino nitrogen, $\mathrm{N}(1)$. The $\pi$ electrons of $\mathrm{N}(2)$, the amino nitrogen, are part of the aromatic sextet. It is unlikely for a metal ion to coordinate to $\mathrm{N}(2)$, since the aromaticity of the ring is thereby compromised. The structure found for the TCPI complex agrees with this conclusion.

The observed $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ distance of $1.97 \AA$ is close to the sum of the covalent radii for Cu and $\mathrm{O}(1.94 \AA)$ (Pauling, 1960) and is in good agreement with the $\mathrm{Cu}-$ O distance of $1.94 \AA$ reported for bis-(4-formyl-2methoxyphenolato)bis(pyridine)copper(II) monohydrate (Hobson et al., 1973), and the value of $1.95 \AA$ reported for bis-(2-methoxy-4-nitrophenolato)bis(pyridine)copper(II) (Bullock, Hobson \& Povey, 1974).

The observed $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ bond length of $2.84 \AA$ is close to the average of the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances found in other complexes where the Cl atom is coordinated to Cu in the axial position. For example, in copper-triazole-chloride complexes, it is $2.77 \AA$ (Jarvis, 1962), $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 2 \cdot 75 \AA$ (Lundberg, 1972), bisbiuretcopper(II) dichloride, $2 \cdot 96 \AA$ (Freeman \& Smith, 1966), and bissemicarbazidecopper(II) chloride, $2.85 \AA$ (Freeman, 1967). Unlike the situation in TCPI complex, the Cl atoms in all the above mentioned complexes are coordinated to Cu as chloride ions, and the observed $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ bond lengths reflect the distances of closest approach for the axially coordinated chloride ions. A preliminary report on bis-(2,4,6trichlorophenolato) ( $N, N, N^{\prime} N^{\prime}$-tetramethylethylenediamine)copper(II) (Vogt, La Placa \& Bednowitz, 1968) gave no $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance for the $o$-chlorine atoms nearest to Cu , but it was later calculated by Bullock et al. (1974) to be $3.07 \AA$. However, this Cu complex is not comparable to the TCPI complex since in the former, the bidentate diamine ligand imposes a cis configuration for the two trichlorophenolato groups, compared to the trans configuration in the latter complex.

The $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ angle of $129.5^{\circ}$ is larger than the value of $118^{\circ}$ observed for the corresponding angle in the methoxy phenolato ligand (Bullock et al., 1974). The larger value observed for this angle in the TCPI complex is due to the greater size of the Cl atom compared to the O atom.

## The imidazole rings

The interatomic distances and angles in the imidazole ring vary between $1 \cdot 32-1 \cdot 36 \AA$ and $105 \cdot 1-111 \cdot 1^{\circ}$, respectively. These ranges are in agreement with those reported for other $\mathrm{Cu}^{11}$ complexes containing imidazole ligands (Fransson \& Lundberg, 1972).

The imidazole ring is planar; the maximum deviation of the ring atoms from the least-squares plane is $0.004 \AA$. The planarity of the imidazole ring and the


Fig. 3. Stereoscopic view of the molecular packing viewing down $c$ with $a$ horizontal.
equality of the $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ and $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ bond lengths are consistent with the generally accepted conclusion that the imidazole ring is aromatic (Sundberg \& Martin, 1974).

## The phenolate rings

The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths of the phenolate ring vary between 1.36 and $1.41 \AA$ with a mean of $1.38 \AA$. There seems to be a systematic decrease in $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond length in the phenolate ring with increasing distance from the Cu atom. The three $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds average $1.74 \AA$, a value somewhat larger than usually observed for the C (aromatic)- Cl bond length of $1 \cdot 70 \AA$ (Sutton, 1965). The bond angles in the phenolate ring range from $113.9^{\circ}$ to $123.8^{\circ}$ with an average value of $120^{\circ}$. The maximum variation is equal to $16 \sigma$ and some, if not all, of this variation can probably be attributed to the non-uniform thermal motion of the atoms in the ring. The phenolate ring is essentially planar, the largest deviation from the mean ring plane is $0.011 \AA$. The $\mathrm{Cl}(1), \mathrm{Cl}(2), \mathrm{Cl}(3)$ and $\mathrm{O}(1)$ atoms are displaced by only $0.004,0.026,0.074$ and $0.041 \AA$, respectively, from the mean ring plane.

The thermal parameters of the heavy atoms show an interesting variation which appears to be due to a waggling motion of the rings around their coordination bond. This effect is particularly noticeable for the Cl atoms, as illustrated in Fig. 1 by the larger size of the thermal ellipsoid for $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ compared to $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Cl}(3)$, and also by inspection of the thermal parameters listed in Table 1.

## Hydrogen bonds

The molecules are held together in the crystal by intermolecular hydrogen bonds. Each water molecule forms four hydrogen bonds to four different molecules. Two of the bonds are to phenolic O atoms, $\mathrm{O}(1)$, and the other two to N atoms, $\mathrm{N}(2)$. The water O atom lies on a twofold axis of symmetry and, consequently, only two of the four bonds are unique. The $\mathrm{O} W-\mathrm{N}(2)$ distance is $2.94 \AA$, and the $\mathrm{O} W-\mathrm{O}(1)$ distance is $2.83 \AA$ which is in excellent agreement with the values reported for bis-(4-formyl-2-methoxyphenolato)bis(pyridine)copper(II) monohydrate (Hobson et al., 1973). The angles $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{O} W-\mathrm{N}(2)$ and $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{O} W_{-}$ $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ are $117 \cdot 6^{\circ}$ and $134 \cdot 2^{\circ}$, respectively. The intermolecular hydrogen bonds are shown in Fig. 3. There are no other intermolecular contacts less than $3.0 \AA$ in the crystal.

The orientation of the imidazole ring around the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ bond would appear to be controlled by the hydrogen bond between $\mathrm{N}(2)$, and the water O atom, as well as the tendency to minimize steric hindrance with the phenolato ligand.
Preliminary spectroscopic measurements of bis-(2,4,6-trichlorophenolato) diimidazolecopper(II) monohydrate show that the complex has visible absorption and resonance Raman spectra similar to those for the
$\mathrm{Cu}^{11}$ chelates of transferrin and ovotransferrin (Tomimatsu, Kint \& Scherer, 1974). This complex thus appears to be a suitable model system for studying the nature of $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$ binding to transferrins.

The authors wish to thank Dr A. Zalkin for the use of his computer programs, Professor D. H. Templeton for helpful discussions, and L. K. Templeton for the program used to correct the X-ray data for absorption, G. E. Secor for elemental analysis, and Dr W. Reuter for copper analysis by X-ray fluorescence.
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